

CSE201: Advanced Programming

Lecture 09: Generic Programming

Vivek Kumar

Computer Science and Engineering

IIIT Delhi

vivekk@iiitd.ac.in

Last Lecture

- Class Object
 - Correct implementation of equals method
 - Comparing objects
 - Comparable interface
 - Comparator interface
 - Copying objects

```
public class Rectangle implements Comparable<Rectangle> {
    private int sideA, sideB, area;
    public Rectangle (int _a, int _b) { ... }
    @Override
    public int compareTo(Rectangle o) {
        if(area == o.area) return 0;
        else if(area < o.area) return -1;
        else return 1;
    }
}
```

```
public class BankAccount implements Cloneable {
    private String name;
    private List<String> transactions;
    public BankAccount clone() {
        try {
            // deep copy
            BankAccount copy = (BankAccount) super.clone();
            copy.transactions = new ArrayList<String>(transactions);
            return copy;
        } catch (CloneNotSupportedException e) {
            // this will never happen
            return null;
        }
    }
}
```

```
public class RectangleAreaComparator
    implements Comparator<Rectangle> {
    @Override
    public int compare(Rectangle r1, Rectangle r2) {
        return r1.getArea() - r2.getArea();
    }
}
```

```
1. public class Point {
2.     private int x, y;
3.     public Point(int _x, int _y) { ... }
4.     @Override
5.     public boolean equals(Object o1) {
6.         if(o1 != null && getClass() == o1.getClass()) {
7.             Point o = (Point) o1; //type casting
8.             return (x==o.x && y==o.y);
9.         }
10.        else {
11.            return false;
12.        }
13.    }
14. }
15. // subclass of Point
16. class Point3D extends Point {
17.     private int z;
18.     public Point3D(int _z) { ... }
19.     @Override
20.     public boolean equals(Object o1) {
21.         if(o1 != null && getClass() == o1.getClass()) {
22.             Point3D o = (Point3D) o1; //type casting
23.             return (super.equals(o1) && z==o.z);
24.         }
25.         else {
26.             return false;
27.         }
28.     }
29. }
```

Today's Lecture

- Generic programming in Java
 - What?
 - Why?
 - How?
 - What not to do in generic programming?
- Quiz-2

Question

- By using any of the concepts taught till now in this course, how can you store different types of objects in a same datastructure
 - E.g., String, Integer, Float, etc. ?

Approach 1

```
public class MyGenericList {
    private ArrayList myList;
    public MyGenericList() {
        myList = new ArrayList();
    }
    public void add(Object o) {
        myList.add(o);
    }
    public Object get(int i) {
        return myList.get(i);
    }

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        MyGenericList generic = new MyGenericList();
        generic.add("hello");
        generic.add(10);
        generic.add(10.23f);
        .....
        String str = (String) generic.get(0); // OK
        String str = (String) generic.get(1); // NOT OK
    }
}
```

- Using inheritance we know Object class can hold any type of objects
 - We can create ArrayList of objects
- **Problems we face:**
 - Mandatory type casting while getting the object from list
 - No error checking while adding objects as we are allowed to add any type of objects
 - Wrong type casting can land you with runtime errors

Approach 2

```
public class MyGenericList {
    private ArrayList myList;
    public MyGenericList() {
        myList = new ArrayList();
    }
    public void add(Object o) {
        myList.add(o);
    }
    public Object get(int i) {
        return myList.get(i);
    }

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        MyGenericList generic = new MyGenericList();
        generic.add("hello");
        generic.add(10);
        generic.add(10.23f);
        .....
        String str = (String) generic.get(0); // OK
        if(generic.get(1) instanceof String) {
            String str = (String) generic.get(1); // OK
        }
    }
}
```

- We can use `instanceof` keyword to verify the type of object retrieved from `get()` function
 - Is this programmer friendly?
 - How many such “if” when you have several different types of objects in the list?

Approach 3

```
public class MyStringList {
    private ArrayList myList;
    public MyStringList() {
        myList = new ArrayList();
    }
    public void add(String o) {
        myList.add(o);
    }
    public String get(int i) {
        return myList.get(i);
    }
}
```

```
public class MyIntList {
    private ArrayList myList;
    public MyIntList() {
        myList = new ArrayList();
    }
    public void add(Integer o) {
        myList.add(o);
    }
    public Integer get(int i) {
        return myList.get(i);
    }
}
```

```
public class MyTypeXList {
    private ArrayList myList;
    public MyTypeXList() {
        myList = new ArrayList();
    }
    public void add(TypeX o) {
        myList.add(o);
    }
    public TypeX get(int i) {
        return myList.get(i);
    }
}
```

```
public class Main {
    public static void main(String args[]) {
        MyStringList strList = new MyStringList();
        MyIntList intList = new MyIntList();
        MyTypeXList typeXList = new MyTypeXList();

        strList.add("hello");
        intList.add(1);
        ...
    }
}
```

- We can create one class to hold one type of object
 - How many classes for N types of objects?
 - How many lines of code?

- Is this programmer friendly?
 - NO !!

Solution: Generic Programming



- Our generic cup can hold different types of liquid
- In the notation $\text{Cup}\langle T \rangle$:
 - $T = \text{Coffee}$
 - $T = \text{Tea}$
 - $T = \text{Milk}$
 - $T = \text{Soup}$
 -

Cup == Generic Container

Implementing generics

```
// a parameterized (generic) class
```

```
public class name<Type> {
```

or

```
public class name<Type1, Type2, ..., TypeN> {
```

- By putting the **Type** in `< >`, you are demanding that any client that constructs your object must supply a type parameter
 - You can require multiple type parameters separated by commas
- The rest of your class's code can refer to that type by name
- The type parameter is *instantiated* by the client. (e.g. `E → String`)

Solution to our Problem

```
public class MyGenericList <T> {
    private ArrayList <T> myList;
    public MyGenericList() {
        myList = new ArrayList <T>();
    }
    public void add(T o) {
        myList.add(o);
    }
    public T get(int i) {
        return myList.get(i);
    }
}
```

- Using generic programming we don't have to implement different classes for different object types
 - Programmer friendly code!
- We just have to create different instances of MyGenericList for different objects

```
public class Main {
    public static void main(String args[]) {
        MyGenericList<String> strList = new MyGenericList<String>();
        MyGenericList<Integer> intList = new MyGenericList<Integer>();

        strList.add("hello");
        intList.add(1);
        ...
    }
}
```

A Generic Class with Multiple Fields

- Let's create a class that could contain two different types of field, and type of both the fields are unknown

Generic Class with Two Fields (1/3)

```
public class Pair <T1, T2> {  
    private T1 key;  
    private T2 value;  
    public Pair(T1 _k, T2 _v) {  
        key = _k; value = _v;  
    }  
    public T1 getKey() { return key; }  
    public T2 getValue() { return value; }  
}
```

```
public class Main {  
    public static void main(String args[]) {  
        MyGenericList<Pair> db =  
            new MyGenericList<Pair>();  
        db.add(new Pair<String, Integer>("John", 2343));  
        db.add(new Pair<String, Integer>("Susane", 8908));  
        ...  
    }  
}
```

- Why this code isn't correct?
 - Database class instantiated without specifying the type of its two fields

Generic Class with Two Fields (2/3)

```
public class Pair <T1, T2> {  
    private T1 key;  
    private T2 value;  
    public Pair(T1 _k, T2 _v) {  
        key = _k; value = _v;  
    }  
    public T1 getKey() { return key; }  
    public T2 getValue() { return value; }  
}
```

```
public class Main {  
    public static void main(String args[]) {  
        MyGenericList<Pair<String, Integer>> db =  
            new MyGenericList<Pair>();  
        db.add(new Pair<String, Integer>("John", 2343));  
        db.add(new Pair<String, Integer>("Susane", 8908));  
        ...  
    }  
}
```

- Why this code isn't correct
 - During instantiation we have to declare the type of fields in Database class on both RHS and LHS of statement

Generic Class with Two Fields (3/3)

```
public class Pair <T1, T2> {
    private T1 key;
    private T2 value;
    public Pair(T1 _k, T2 _v) {
        key = _k; value = _v;
    }
    public T1 getKey() { return key; }
    public T2 getValue() { return value; }
}
```

```
public class Main {
    public static void main(Sting args[]) {
        MyGenericList<Pair<String, Integer>> db =
            new MyGenericList<Pair<String, Integer>>();
        db.add(new Pair<String, Integer>("John", 2343));
        db.add(new Pair<String, Integer>("Susane", 8908));
        ...
    }
}
```

- This is the correct implementation and usage of a generic class with multiple fields

Goals for Generic Programming

- Writing code that can be reused for objects of many different types
 - Programmer friendly
- For example, you don't want to program separate classes to collect String and Integer objects

Behind the Scene: Generics are Implemented using Type Erasures

```
public class MyGenericList <T> {
    private ArrayList <T> myList;
    public MyGenericList() {
        myList = new ArrayList <T>();
    }
    public void add(T o) {
        myList.add(o);
    }
    public T get(int i) {
        return myList.get(i);
    }
}

public class Main {
    public static void main(Sting args[]) {
        MyGenericList<String> strList = new
            MyGenericList<String>();
        strList.add("hello");
        String str = strList.get(0);
    }
}
```



➔
Compile
Time

```
public class MyGenericList {
    private ArrayList myList;
    public MyGenericList() {
        myList = new ArrayList ();
    }
    public void add(Object o) {
        myList.add(o);
    }
    public Object get(int i) {
        return myList.get(i);
    }
}

public class Main {
    public static void main(Sting args[]) {
        MyGenericList strList = new MyGenericList();
        strList.add("hello");
        String str = (String) strList.get(0);
    }
}
```

- Compiler erases all parameter type information (type erasure)
- Compiler also ensures proper typecasting

Restrictions (1/6)

- Which of the following is correct?

1. `MyGenericList <double> var = new MyGenericList<Double>();`
2. `MyGenericList <Double> var = new MyGenericList<Double>();`

Type Parameters Cannot Be Instantiated with Primitive Types !
– No double, only Double

Restrictions (2/6)

```
public class MyGenericClass<T> {  
    .....  
  
    public void doSomething() {  
        T my_var = new T(); // ERROR  
    }  
  
    public static void main(String[] arg){  
        .....  
    }  
}
```

- Instantiating Type variable is not allowed
 - Compile time error
 - Type erasure removes the type information at runtime and hence its impossible to figure out the type at runtime

Restrictions (3/6)

```
public class MyGenericClass<T> {
    .....

    static <T> void doSomething(List<T> list) {
        if(list instanceof ArrayList<Integer>) {

        }
    }

    public static void main(String[] arg){
        .....
    }
}
```

- Cannot use casts or instanceof with parameterized types
 - Compile time error
 - Type erasure removes the type information at runtime and hence its impossible to figure out the type at runtime

Restrictions (4/6)

```
public class MyGenericClass<T> {  
    .....  
    private static T field;  
  
    public static void main(String[] arg){  
        MyGenericClass<Integer> c1 = new .....  
        MyGenericClass<String> c2 = new .....  
        MyGenericClass<Double> c3 = new .....  
        // What is the type of "field" now ?  
    }  
}
```

- Cannot declare static fields whose types are Type parameter
 - If it was allowed then in the code shown here what will be the Type of "field" as it's a static object hence shared by c1, c2 and c3

Restrictions (5/6)




Image Source: Core Java, Volume-1

- Generic does not support sub-typing
 - If a class Employee is the superclass (parent) for a class Manager, then for a generic class Pair<T>, it does not mean Pair<Employee> also becomes the superclass (parent) for Pair<Manager>

Restrictions (6/6)

```
public class MyGenericClass<T> {
    .....

    public void doSomething() {
        T[] my_arr = new T[10]; // ERROR
    }

    public static void main(String[] arg){
        // ERROR
        MyGenericClass<String>[] str_array
            = new
MyGenericClass<String>[10];
    }
}
```

- Generic array creation is not allowed
 - Solution: create array of Object and typecast that array to generic type

Why Generic Array Creation not Allowed ?

```
// Legal statement (arrays are covariant)
Object array[] = new Integer[10];
// Compilation error below (generics are invariant)
List<Object> myList = new ArrayList<Integer>();
```

```
// Below line incorrect but let's assume its
correct
List<Integer> intList[] = new
ArrayList<Integer>[5];
List<String> stringList = new ArratList<String>();

stringList.add("John");

Object objArray[] = intList;
objArray[0] = stringList;

// This will generate ClassCastException
int my_int_number = objArray[0].get(0);
```

© Vivek Kumar

- Arrays are covariant
 - Subclass array type can be assigned to superclass array reference
- Generics are invariant
 - Subclass type generic type cannot be assigned to superclass generic reference
- If generic array creation was allowed then compile time strict type checking cannot be enforced
 - Runtime ClassCastException will be generated in the example here

Is there any Problem in Below Code?

```
public class Main {
    .....
    public static void print(ArrayList<Object>
list){
        for(Object o: list)
            System.out.println(o);
    }
    public static void main(String[] arg){
        ArrayList<Integer> I = new
            ArrayList<Integer>();

        I.add(1);
        I.add(2);
        ArrayList<String> S = new
            ArrayList<String>();

        S.add("Bob");
        S.add("Paul");
        print(I);
        print(S);
    }
}
```

- The code won't compile
- Although Object is superclass for Integer and String class, it does not mean that in the print method, ArrayList<Object> can hold ArrayList<Integer> or ArrayList<String>
 - Restriction-5 discussed in this lecture
- How to resolve this issue?

The WildCard to our Rescue !



The WildCard “?” to our Rescue !

```
public class Main {
    .....
    public static void print(ArrayList<?> list){
        for(Object o: list)
            System.out.println(o);
    }
    public static void main(String[] arg){
        ArrayList<Integer> I = new
            ArrayList<Integer>();

        I.add(1);
        I.add(2);
        ArrayList<String> S = new
            ArrayList<String>();

        S.add("Bob");
        S.add("Paul");
        print(I);
        print(S);
    }
}
```

- We just need **one** change in our code
- Simply use a wildcard character as type variable in the parameter ArrayList in print method
-

More Meaningful Example of Wildcard (1/2)

```
public class Main {
    .....
    static void print(ArrayList<? extends Car>
list){
        .....
    }
    public static void main(String[] arg){
        .....
    }
}
```

- Upper bounded wildcard
 - Here the print method will only accept ArrayList of Car type or its subclass type

More Meaningful Example of Wildcard (2/2)

```
public class Main {
    .....
    static void print(ArrayList<? super Integer> list){
        .....
    }
    public static void main(String[] arg){
        .....
    }
}
```

- Lower bounded wildcard
 - Here the print method will only accept ArrayList of Integer or any Type that is supertype of Integer
 - Integer
 - Number
 - Object

Next Lecture

- Exception Handling